

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/576,991	RAUL ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		SARAH PIHONAK	1627

All Participants:

(1) SARAH PIHONAK.

(2) TIMOTHY HAGAN.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ALL

Claims discussed:

1-41

Prior art documents discussed:

ALL

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SEE CONTINUATION

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicants' attorney, Timothy Hagan, was contacted to discuss the allowability of claims 1-7, 9-12, and 14-18. Previously withdrawn claims 8, 13, and 19-41 were rejoined with the allowed claims with the Applicants' consent. Claims 1-41 are allowed.